INJE-TP-98-9, hep-th/9809059

BTZ black hole and quantum Hall effect in the bulk/boundary dynamics

Y. S. Myung

Department of Physics, Inje University, Kimhae 621-749, Korea

arXiv:hep-th/9809059v3 3 Nov 1998

Abstract

We point out an interesting analogy between the BTZ black hole and QHE (Quantum Hall effect) in the (2+1)-dimensional bulk/boundary theories. It is shown that the Chern-Simons/Liouville(Chern-Simons/chiral boson) is an effective description for the BTZ black hole (QHE). Also the IR(bulk)-UV(boundary) connection for a black hole information bound is realized as the UV(low-lying excitations on bulk)-IR(long-range excitations on boundary) connection in the QHE. An inflow of conformal anomaly($c = 1$ central charge) onto the timelike boundary of AdS_3 by the Noether current corresponds to an inflow of chiral anomaly onto the edge of disk by the Hall current.

Recently the AdS/CFT correspondence has attracted much interest. This is based on the duality relation between the string theory(bulk theory) on AdS_{d+1} and a conformal field theory(CFT) as its d-dimensional boundary theory [1–3]. The calculation of greybody factor for the BTZ black hole on AdS_3 is in agreement with the CFT calculation on the boundary [4,5]. In this calculation one introduced a set of test fields to connect the bulk to the boundary. These couple to conformal operators on the boundary : a free scalar couples to (1,1) operator, while the dilaton couples to (2,2) operator. Actually this corresponds to introducing the matter coupling.

However, it appears that there exists a discrepancy for counting the entropy of the BTZ black hole (locally, AdS_3) by making use of the AdS/CFT correspondence. Here two important questions arise : 1) what fields provide the relevant degrees of freedom? 2) where do these excitations live? At the present, an answer is that the freedom at infinity is relevant to counting of the entropy. The freedom at infinity is given by a single Liouville field($c = 1$ CFT) [6,7]. How to get this freedom at spatial infinity? In this case, one does not consider the matter coupling to (2+1)-dimensional gravity. One suggested that the conformal anomaly is transported from the horizon to spatial infinity by the coupling to bulk degrees of freedom [8,9]. Precisely, this is made by means of a Noether current and is called an inflow of global geometric data onto the boundary [7]. This is a mechanism of information flow to the boundary without any matter coupling. However, a unitary CFT on the boundary gives us the asymptotic density of states with $c = \frac{3\ell}{2G}$ [10–12].

How can we understand a discrepancy between $c = 1$ and $c = \frac{3\ell}{2G}$? Martinec insisted that (2+1)-dimensional gravity is a pure gauge theory and thus it examines only its macroscopic properties(thermodynamics) by a set of Noether charges [7]. On the other hand, the gauge theory of branes(CFT) is a tool to investigate its microscopic features. Hence (2+1)-dimensional gravity appears as a collective field excitation of the microscopic dual CFT. It is worth noting that Chern-Simons/Liouville theory is just an effective description of the bulk/boundary theory for the BTZ black hole. Consequently, (2+1)-dimensional gravity with a negative cosmological constant($\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$) cannot be used for counting of the mi-

croscopic states of the BTZ black hole. This is compared with the 5d(D-brane) black hole [13,14]. In this case, the 5d balck hole with three $U(1)$ charges(Q_1, Q_5, Q_K) contains all information to determine the entropy because it was originally constructed from the D-brane picture (microscopic picture).

Although the BTZ black hole can be described by the $SL(2,\mathbf{R})_L \times SL(2,\mathbf{R})_R$ Chern-Simons theory, the periodic identifications break the global isometry group $(SL(2,\mathbf{R})_L \times SL(2,\mathbf{R})_R)$ of AdS_3 down $\mathbf{R} \times SO(2)$ [15]. Thus we lose the powerful feature of the AdS_3 representation theory in identifying bulk modes with boundary states. In this sense, it seems that a compact $U(1)$ Chern-Simons model is more appropriate for an effective description of the BTZ black hole, rather than a non-compact group($SL(2,\mathbf{R})_L \times SL(2,\mathbf{R})_R$)-model. This may be confirmed from the fact that the Liouville model has not $c = \frac{3\ell}{2G}$ but $c = 1$ [6,7].

On the other hand, there exists a famous bulk/boundary theory in the QHE [16]. We stress that this model can be tested by experiments. Its key nature is also the holographic property which arises as a result of the AdS/CFT correspondence. The original term of holography implies a projection of a two dimensional image onto a one dimensional line [17]. Nowdays this can be extended to accommodate as follows : everything that goes on in a bulk space can be described by a full set of degrees of freedom that resides on its boundary. Also Susskind and Witten proposed a new idea to obtain an order of magnitude of the degrees of freedom of a black hole [9]. They found that the infrared(long-distance) effects in the bulk are related to the ultraviolet(short-distance) effects on the boundary(infrared-ultraviolet connection).

In this paper, we explore an interesting interface for the holography between the high energy physics and condensed matter physics.

On the condensed matter side the physical configuration is quite different from the BTZ black hole. Although an apparent discrepancy between the BTZ balck hole and QHE exists, all of the holographic properties can be realized in the QHE as well. First one finds $U(1)$ Chern-Simons/chiral boson theory, as an effective description for quantum Hall effect on

$M_3 = \Sigma \times \mathbf{R}$ (where $\mathbf{R}$ is for the time) [16,18,19]. A model of incompressible quantum fluid which arises from planar electrons in a strong magnetic field is an essential element to understand the plateaus of the QHE [20]. Here the incompressibility means the uniform distribution of the matter on a disk : long-range property of many-body state. It is well known that the bulk fractional QHE is described by Laughlin's first-quantized wave function of many electrons [21]. This gives a successful bulk description of the ground state of fractional QHE. Note that the single-electron is not applicable to fractional QHE. This is so because the QHE is fundamentally a many-body effect. An intuitive way of understanding the incompressible Hall fluid is to confine it to a finite size container(droplet), say disk or annulus. And then one observes the currents that flow onto its boundary. A droplet of two-dimensional electron gas in the quantum Hall regime turns out to be an incompressible and irrotational fluid. The radial current (Hall current) flows onto the edge and then affects the shape of boundary. Finally this makes an circular edge current [22]. Considering the quantum fluid as a dynamical system, one does not hesitate to say that all bulk degrees of freedom reside on the boundary(edge). This is a key signal for the holography on the QHE side. We remind the reader that the bulk dynamics of an incompressible fluid can be described by an abelian Chern-Simons gauge field [16]. This corresponds to the Chern-Simons description of (2+1)-dimensional gravity. In (2+1)-dimensional gravity with $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$, one can imagine the similar phenomenon that the Chern-Simons gauge fields push all the bulk information onto the boundary. As an analogy, it is worth noting that (2+1)-dimensional gravity with $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$ corresponds to an incompressibe Hall fluid. And thus the answer to where the excitations live is clearly given by the boundary at infinity.

On the condensed matter side, a Chern-Simons gauge field corresponds to the dual vector of Hall current. Further a Hall conductivity(σ_H) provides the Chern-Simons coupling constant. On a disk geometry($\Sigma = D$), its boundary is a circle($\partial\Sigma = S^1$) with radius R. The Chern-Simons field becomes dynamical only on the boundary. The boundary degrees of freedom (a chiral boson with $c = 1$ [23]) turn out to be physical and they describe the gapless edge excitations in terms of a bosonic language. It can be confirmed from the fact that for

a topological field theory(Chern-simons theory), one has only boundary physical degrees of freedom. This corresponds to a collective description for excitations of two-dimensional electrons on the boundary. Actually the low-lying excitations of a quantum Hall state on a disk induce the edge excitations. In other words, the only possible low-energy excitations reside on the edge of a disk. This is possibe because the radius of boundary (R) is much larger than the typical microscopic scale of cyclotron radius($\ell_c = \sqrt{2\hbar c/eB}$) of electron under a strong magnetic field(B). The chiral boson wave functions exhaust these low-energy edge excitations for integer quantum Hall states [24,18]. This is an example for the infrared-ultraviolet connection. However, on the QHE side, one finds the ultraviolet(low-lying excitations) effects on the disk and infrared(long-range excitations) effects on the boundary. This is a contrast to the black hole.

Furthermore it is easy to couple the chiral boson to $U(1)$ Chern-Simons gauge fields. Then the equation of motion for chiral boson leads to that of a chiral current anomaly for the fermion. We can interpret this as the radial inflow of charge from the center of disk onto the boundary by coupling of a Hall current [22]. Actually the chiral anomaly amounts to a violation of charge conservation due to the Hall current(external electric field). Also this plays a role of the underlying principle in the boundary approach of the quantum Hall effect [25]. In this way, one interpret the inflow of conformal anomaly(central charge) in the BTZ black hole. This arises as a result of inflow of global geometric data(a set of Noether charges) from the horizon onto the timelike boundary by coupling of the Noether current. Here for disk (annulus), the horizon is located at the center of disk (inner boundary of annulus).

Finally, we summarize the interesting analogies between BTZ black hole/QHE.

• Bulk configuration : (2+1) gravity with $\Lambda = -1/\ell^2$/incompressible fluid.

• Effective(collective) bulk theory : $SL(2,\mathbf{R})_L \times SL(2,\mathbf{R})_R$ Chern-Simons/ $U(1)$ Chern-Simons.

• Effective(collective) boundary theory : $c = 1$ Liouville/$c = 1$ chiral boson.

• Information bound for bulk-boundary : IR-UV/UV-IR.

• Anomaly inflow(information inflow) onto the boundary : conformal anomaly/chiral

anomaly.

- Coupling of bulk to boundary : Noether current/Hall current.
- Global data from bulk to boundary : Noether charges/electric charges.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank J. Maldacena, E. Martinec and H. W. Lee for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Project NO. BSRI–98–2413.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200.

[2] S. S. Gubser,I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polykov, hep-th/9802109.

[3] E. Witten, hep-th/9802150.

[4] D. B. Birmingham, I. Sachs and S. Sen,Phys. Lett. **B413**, 281(1997) ; E. Teo, hep-th/9805014.

[5] H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, hep-th/9808002.

[6] S. Carlip, hep-th/9806026.

[7] E. Martinec, hep-th/9809021.

[8] E. Martinec, hep-th/9804111.

[9] L. Susskind and E. Witten, hep-th/9805114.

[10] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 207(1978).

[11] A. Strominger, hep-th/9712251.

[12] K. Behrndt, I Brunner and I. Gaida, hep-th/9804159 ; hep-th/9806195.

[13] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, Phys. Lett. **B379**, 99 (1996), hep-th/9601029; C. Callan and J. Maldacena, Nucl. Phys. **B472**, 591 (1996), hep-th/9602043; G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2368 (1996), hep-th/9602051.

[14] H. W. Lee, Y. S. Myung, and J. Y. Kim, to appear in Phys. Rev. **D58**, hep-th/9708099.

[15] E. Keski-Vakkuri, hep-th/9808037; V. Balasubramanian, P. Krauss, and A. Lawrence, hep-th/9805171 ; J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, hep-th/9804085.

[16] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2206 (1990) ; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B6**, 1711 (1992).

[17] G. 't Hooft, gr-qc/9310026 ; L. Susskind, J. Math, Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).

[18] Y. S. Myung, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, 1297 (1993) ; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7**, 1181 (1994); J. Math. Phys. **38**, 6249 (1997).

[19] A. P. Balachandran, L. Chandar, and A. Momen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 625 (1997).

[20] R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin, eds., *The quantum Hall effect* (Springer, Berlin, 1991).

[21] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).

[22] A. Cappelli, G. V. Dunne, C. A. Trugenberger and G. R. Zemba, Nucl. Phys. **B398**, 531 (1993).

[23] R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1873 (1987).

[24] M. Stone, H. W. Wyld, and R. L. Schult, Phys. Rev. **B45**, 14156 (1992).

[25] Y. C. Kao and D. H. Lee, cond-mat/9608113.